# Standard Practice for Conducting Force Controlled Constant Amplitude Axial Fatigue Tests of Metallic Materials ${ }^{1}$ 


#### Abstract

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E466; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon $(\varepsilon)$ indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.


## 1. Scope

1.1 This practice covers the procedure for the performance of axial force controlled fatigue tests to obtain the fatigue strength of metallic materials in the fatigue regime where the strains are predominately elastic, both upon initial loading and throughout the test. This practice is limited to the fatigue testing of axial unnotched and notched specimens subjected to a constant amplitude, periodic forcing function in air at room temperature.
1.2 The use of this test method is limited to specimens and does not cover testing of full-scale components, structures, or consumer products.
1.3 The values stated in inch-pound units are to be regarded as standard. The values given in parentheses are mathematical conversions to SI units that are provided for information only and are not considered standard.
1.4 The text of this standard references notes and footnotes that provide explanatory material. These notes and footnotes (excluding those in tables and figures) shall not be considered as requirements of the standard.

Note 1-The following documents, although not directly referenced in the text, are considered important enough to be listed in this practice:

E739 Practice for Statistical Analysis of Linear or Linearized StressLife (S-N) and Strain-Life ( $\varepsilon$-N) Fatigue Data

STP 566 Handbook of Fatigue Testing ${ }^{2}$
STP 588 Manual on Statistical Planning and Analysis for Fatigue Experiments ${ }^{3}$

STP 731 Tables for Estimating Median Fatigue Limits ${ }^{4}$
1.5 This international standard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the

[^0]Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Trade Organization Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.

## 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards: ${ }^{5}$

E3 Guide for Preparation of Metallographic Specimens
E467 Practice for Verification of Constant Amplitude Dynamic Forces in an Axial Fatigue Testing System
E468 Practice for Presentation of Constant Amplitude Fatigue Test Results for Metallic Materials
E606/E606M Test Method for Strain-Controlled Fatigue Testing
E739 Practice for Statistical Analysis of Linear or Linearized Stress-Life ( $\boldsymbol{S}-\boldsymbol{N}$ ) and Strain-Life ( $\varepsilon-\boldsymbol{N}$ ) Fatigue Data
E1012 Practice for Verification of Testing Frame and Specimen Alignment Under Tensile and Compressive Axial Force Application
E1823 Terminology Relating to Fatigue and Fracture Testing

## 3. Terminology

### 3.1 Definitions:

3.1.1 The terms used in this practice shall be as defined in Terminology E1823.

## 4. Significance and Use

4.1 The axial force fatigue test is used to determine the effect of variations in material, geometry, surface condition, stress, and so forth, on the fatigue resistance of metallic materials subjected to direct stress for relatively large numbers of cycles. The results may also be used as a guide for the selection of metallic materials for service under conditions of repeated direct stress.
4.2 In order to verify that such basic fatigue data generated using this practice is comparable, reproducible, and correlated among laboratories, it may be advantageous to conduct a round-robin-type test program from a statistician's point of

[^1]view. To do so would require the control or balance of what are often deemed nuisance variables; for example, hardness, cleanliness, grain size, composition, directionality, surface residual stress, surface finish, and so forth. Thus, when embarking on a program of this nature it is essential to define and maintain consistency a priori, as many variables as reasonably possible, with as much economy as prudent. All material variables, testing information, and procedures used should be reported so that correlation and reproducibility of results may be attempted in a fashion that is considered reasonably good current test practice.
4.3 The results of the axial force fatigue test are suitable for application to design only when the specimen test conditions realistically simulate service conditions or some methodology of accounting for service conditions is available and clearly defined.

## 5. Specimen Design

5.1 The type of specimen used will depend on the objective of the test program, the type of equipment, the equipment capacity, and the form in which the material is available. However, the design should meet certain general criteria outlined below:
5.1.1 The design of the specimen should be such that failure occurs in the test section (reduced area as shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). The acceptable ratio of the areas (test section to grip section) to ensure a test section failure is dependent on the specimen gripping method. Threaded end specimens may prove difficult to align and failure often initiates at these stress concentrations when testing in the life regime of interest in this practice. A caveat is given regarding the gage section with sharp edges (that is, square or rectangular cross section) since these are inherent weaknesses because the slip of the grains at sharp edges is not confined by neighboring grains on two sides. Because of this, a circular cross section may be preferred if material form lends itself to this configuration. The size of the gripped end relative to the gage section, and the blend radius from gage section into the grip section, may cause premature failure particularly if fretting occurs in the grip section or if the radius is too small. Readers are referred to Ref (1) should this occur.
5.1.2 For the purpose of calculating the force to be applied to obtain the required stress, the dimensions from which the area is calculated should be measured to the nearest 0.001 in . $(0.03 \mathrm{~mm})$ for dimensions equal to or greater than 0.200 in . $(5.08 \mathrm{~mm})$ and to the nearest $0.0005 \mathrm{in} .(0.013 \mathrm{~mm})$ for
dimensions less than 0.200 in . ( 5.08 mm ). Surfaces intended to be parallel and straight should be in a manner consistent with 8.2.

Note 2-Measurements of dimensions presume smooth surface finishes for the specimens. In the case of surfaces that are not smooth, due to the fact that some surface treatment or condition is being studied, the dimensions should be measured as above and the average, maximum, and minimum values reported.

### 5.2 Specimen Dimensions:

5.2.1 Circular Cross Sections-Specimens with circular cross sections may be either of two types:
5.2.1.1 Specimens with tangentially blended fillets between the test section and the ends (Fig. 1)—The diameter of the test section should preferably be between $0.200 \mathrm{in} .(5.08 \mathrm{~mm})$ and $1.000 \mathrm{in} .(25.4 \mathrm{~mm})$. To ensure test section failure, the grip cross-sectional area should be at least 1.5 times but, preferably for most materials and specimens, at least four times the test section area. The blending fillet radius should be at least eight times the test section diameter to minimize the theoretical stress concentration factor, $K_{t}$ of the specimen. The test section length should be approximately two to three times the test section diameter. For tests run in compression, the length of the test section should be approximately two times the test section diameter to minimize buckling.
5.2.1.2 Specimens with a continuous radius between ends (Fig. 3)-The radius of curvature should be no less than eight times the minimum diameter of the test section to minimize $K_{t}$. The reduced section length should be greater than three times the minimum test section diameter. Otherwise, the same dimensional relationships should apply, as in the case of the specimens described in 5.2.1.1.
5.2.2 Rectangular Cross Sections-Specimens with rectangular cross sections may be made from sheet or plate material and may have a reduced test cross section along one dimension, generally the width, or they may be made from material requiring dimensional reductions in both width and thickness. In view of this, no maximum ratio of area (grip to test section) should apply. The value of 1.5 given in 5.2.1.1 may be considered as a guideline. Otherwise, the sections may be either of two types:
5.2.2.1 Specimens with tangentially blended fillets between the uniform test section and the ends (Fig. 4)—The radius of the blending fillets should be at least eight times the specimen test section width to minimize $K_{t}$ of the specimen. The ratio of specimen test section width to thickness should be between two and six, and the reduced area should preferably be between


FIG. 1 Specimens with Tangentially Blending Fillets Between the Test Section and the Ends


FIG. 2 Specimens with Continuous Radius Between Ends


FIG. 3 Specimens with a Continuous Radius Between Ends


FIG. 4 Specimens with Tangentially Blending Fillets Between the Uniform Test Section and the Ends
$0.030 \mathrm{in}^{2}{ }^{2}\left(19.4 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right)$ and $1.000 \mathrm{in}^{2}{ }^{2}\left(645 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right)$, except in extreme cases where the necessity of sampling a product with an unchanged surface makes the above restrictions impractical. The test section length should be approximately two to three times the test section width of the specimen. For specimens that are less than 0.100 in. ( 2.54 mm ) thick, special precautions are necessary particularly in reversed loading, such as $R=-1$. For example, specimen alignment is of utmost importance and the procedure outlined in Practice E606/E606M would be advantageous. Also, Refs (2-5), although they pertain to strain-controlled testing, may prove of interest since they deal with sheet specimens approximately 0.05 in . $(1.25 \mathrm{~mm})$ thick.
5.2.2.2 Specimens with continuous radius between ends (Fig. 2)-The same restrictions should apply in the case of this type of specimen as for the specimen described in 5.2.1.2. The area restrictions should be the same as for the specimen described in 5.2.2.1.
5.2.3 Notched Specimens-In view of the specialized nature of the test programs involving notched specimens, no restrictions are placed on the design of the notched specimen, other than that it must be consistent with the objectives of the program. Also, specific notched geometry, notch tip radius, information on the associated $K_{t}$ for the notch, and the method and source of its determination should be reported.

## 6. Specimen Preparation

6.1 The condition of the test specimen and the method of specimen preparation are of the utmost importance. Improper methods of preparation can greatly bias the test results. In view of this fact, the method of preparation should be agreed upon prior to the beginning of the test program by both the originator and the user of the fatigue data to be generated. Since specimen preparation can strongly influence the resulting fatigue data, the application or end use of that data, or both, should be considered when selecting the method of preparation. Appendix X1 presents an example of a machining procedure that has been employed on some metals in an attempt to minimize the variability of machining and heat treatment upon fatigue life.
6.2 Once a technique has been established and approved for a specific material and test specimen configuration, change should not be made because of potential bias that may be introduced by the changed technique. Regardless of the machining, grinding, or polishing method used, the final metal removal should be in a direction approximately parallel to the long axis of the specimen. This entire procedure should be clearly explained in the reporting since it is known to influence fatigue behavior in the long-life regime.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E08 on Fatigue and Fracture and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E08.05 on Cyclic Deformation and Fatigue Crack Formation.
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